

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,076	LANDOLFI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yunsoo Kim	1644

All Participants:

(1) Yunsoo Kim.

Status of Application: After Final

(2) Michael Biro.

(3) Susan Harlocker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2007

Time: 1:40pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

47-49

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representatives, Mr. Michael Biro and Ms. Susan Harlocker, authorized to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)